

Submitter: 378: Coastal Ratepayers United (CRU)

Topic: Coastal Hazards

Oppose

- A) Oppose the fundamentally flawed coastal hazard assessment report.
 - B) Oppose Objective 2.4 in particular part d)
 - C) Delete Objective 2.4 d)
 - D) Oppose Objective 2.5
 - E) Oppose sections 4.1.1 and 4.2
 - F) Oppose Policies 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14 and 4.15.
 - G) Oppose parts of Chapter 9 which relate to the above
 - H) Oppose the section 32 report and amend it to be a thorough assessment of alternatives, benefits and costs.
 - I) Oppose Rules 4A.1.1, 4A.1.2, 4A.1.3, 4A.1.4, 4A.2.2, 4A.3.4, 4A.4.1, 4A.4.3, 4A.5.2, 4A.5.3, 4A.6.1 and 4A.6.2 and related definitions.
 - J) Defer coastal hazard provisions until Regional Plan and Shand Report have been reviewed
 - K) Seek that the Coastal Hazard Report by Dr Shand be independently reviewed.
 - L) Seek investigation of alternative management methods along the coast
 - M) Seek revised objectives, policies and rules after a careful economic, social and environmental analysis of mitigation strategies for each part for the coast where hazard is identified in the revised assessment
 - N) Alternatively or additionally amend objectives, policies and rules to
 - enable a wider scope of alterations and extensions to existing buildings in a 50-year zone,
 - enable new dwellings and accessory buildings within a 50-year zone if they are relocatable
 - disallow further subdivision to provide additional dwelling lots in a 50-year zone
 - require assessment of subdivision landward of a 50-year zone based on a 100-year most likely shoreline but with no additional rules on any other activities, such as buildings
 - exclude prohibited activities
 - alternatively create narrower buffer zones landward of a 50-year zone with appropriate policies and rules
 - O) Withdraw coastal hazard provisions in chapters 2, 4 and 9 and related maps and definitions.
 - P) Seek a legal and planning audit by experienced resource management practitioners.
-